ADDENDUM #1 THE DISTRICT RESPONSES TO THE WRITTEN QUESTIONS RFQ - ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES RFQ #2022-02

Addendum #1 The District will wave 50 page limit requirement listed in RFQ on Page 7, III, A. Format Requirements.

1. Due date for SOQ submittal is Thursday, June 30th, 2022 or Friday, July 1st, 2022 at 2PM?

Answer: The due date for SOQ submittal is Friday, July 1st, 2022 at 2PM.

2. RFQ Page 11, Requirements for 5. TAB 5 – Architect Team summary and qualifications states "Resumes shall include specific qualifications and recent related experience and shall include a list of references with contact names and phone numbers." Would the District please clarify whether this request is for references for each project listed on the resume or an overall list of references for the person proposed and the number of references required?

Answer: The district is asking for up to 3 references to be listed in each key member's resume.

3. Would respondents be permitted to include letters of recommendation as an appendix to the RFQ submittal that would be excluded from the 50 page limit outlined on page 7, Section III., A. Format Requirements?

Answer: Yes. See Addendum #1.

4. Are the 50 pages front and back or single sided?

Answer: See Addendum #1.

5. Cover letter is limited to 1 page but responding to agreement comments may exceed that. Is that acceptable?

Answer: Yes. See Addendum #1.

6. Clarification on Fee: RFQ requests a "fee proposal".

Answer: The District requires each respondent to provide a fee schedule identifying hourly rates by position.

7. Have conceptual plans or feasibility studies been performed for the projects envisioned?

Answer: Conceptual plans have been performed for Measure U Projects only. Feasibility studies have not been performed.

8. Have target projects, schedules, and budgets been identified by the District? If so, are project scopes and priorities available for review? (Please note, information regarding the District's capital projects, includes a broken link for 'Future Projects' under the 'District Projects' Bond Measures page).

Answer: No, not all target projects, schedules, nor budgets have been identified by the District. At this time the district Measure U and Measure L projects are available for review, future projects is to be determined. Broken link has been repaired.

9. Are scopes of anticipated consultant services available?

Answer: No

10. Is a third-party cost-estimator desired?

Answer: The district will not be employing a third party cost estimator.

11. Should a security consultant be included as part of the project team?

Answer: No, a security consultant is not necessary.

12. Have RFQ Addenda been issued, or are there plans to do so? When and where will Addenda be available, and will respondents be notified?

Answer: Yes, this is the Addenda and will be posted on the District website at:

https://www.lodiusd.net/district/departments/business-services/facilities-and-planning

+ Consultants Information and Requests for Qualifications (RFQ),

Architectural Consultants.

Respondents will be notified via email.